## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Jaylynn Dean v. Uber Techs., Inc., N.D. Cal. Case No. 3:23-cv-06708-CRB D. Ariz. Case No. 2:25-cv-04276-CRB Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

DECLARATION OF LAURA VARTAIN
HORN IN SUPPORT OF UBER'S
STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO
PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE
SEALED [PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO
UBER'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE]

Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer

Courtroom: 6 - 17th Floor

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

PHOENIX DIVISION

JAYLYNN DEAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 25-cv-4276-PHX-CRB

Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer

Courtroom: 501

1314

1516

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

I, Laura Vartain Horn, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at Kirkland & Ellis LLP, counsel of record for Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC (collectively, "Uber"). I offer this Declaration in the above-captioned matter in support of Uber's Statement in Response to Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed [Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine].
  - 2. Plaintiff takes no position as to Uber's proposed redactions.
- 3. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 4. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 2 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 5. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 3 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 6. Attached as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 4 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 7. Attached as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 5 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 8. Attached as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 6 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 9. Attached as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 7 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 10. Attached as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 15 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 11. Attached as Exhibit I (Parts 1 and 2) is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 17 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 12. Attached as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 19 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.

- 13. Attached as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 20 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 14. Attached as Exhibit L is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 21 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 15. Attached as Exhibit M is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 22 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 16. Attached as Exhibit N is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 23 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 17. Attached as Exhibit O is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 35 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 18. Attached as Exhibit P (Parts 1 and 2) is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 47 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 19. Attached as Exhibit Q is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 48 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 20. Attached as Exhibit R is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 49 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 21. Attached as Exhibit S is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 50 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 22. Attached as Exhibit T is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 53 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.
- 23. Attached as Exhibit U is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 54 to Plaintiff's Opposition to Uber's Motions in Limine with Uber's proposed redactions.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: January 13, 2026

/s/ Laura Vartain Horn Laura Vartain Horn